

COUNCIL  
AGENDA

MAY 10, 1978

THE COUNCIL OF  
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

A G E N D A

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 1978

1 CITY CENTRE DRIVE, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

---

1. REPORTS FROM MUNICIPAL OFFICERS

- (a) It is expected that a report will be available with respect to the construction of a clubhouse by the Applewood Tennis Club at Kennedy Park. Approval was given for the construction of the clubhouse as per General Committee Recommendation #324, adopted by Council on March 13, 1978.

2. BY-LAWS

- #270-78 A by-law to authorize the execution of an agreement between the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and Markborough Properties. (This agreement is with respect to development levies.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #271-78 A by-law to authorize the execution of an agreement between the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and Cadillac Fairview Corporation. (This agreement is with respect to development levies.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #272-78 A by-law to authorize the execution of an agreement between the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and S. B. McLaughlin and Assoc. Ltd. (This agreement is with respect to development levies.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

3. ADJOURNMENT

Verbal motion.



*Burnhamdale Investments Ltd.*

SUITE 86-1350 WINDING TRAIL, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO L4Y 2T8

TEL 416 625-3482

TEL 416 625-0960

April 27, 1978

City of Mississauga,  
Commissioner, Recreation & Parks  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2

Att: Mr. L.F. Love

Dear Mr. Love;

Re: Applewood Tennis Club-Clubhouse

I hereby give notice on behalf of our company of our objection to construction of the above-mentioned project on the designated site.

The units which face that property have one very strong "selling feature" in that they are away from the highway, and from the time school is dismissed in the daytime, it is relatively quiet in that area. The houses themselves are mostly difficult to move in and out of, due to their location far from the street and the parking lots, and any prospective tenants who would be willing to put up with the inconvenience of such a difficult move would not likely appreciate doing so if the advantage of quiet and privacy were removed.

We have already had negative results from City approved projects of this nature being built next to our units, and despite the builder's arguments that their project will enhance the area, it has proved to be a severe disadvantage.

Already a number of our long term tenants along that property have voiced their concern on this matter, and we have asked them to forward their objections to you. The tennis courts alone present problems in that members continually use our private parking lots coming to and from the courts, and often annoy our tenants by retrieving balls from their lawns and asking to use their private facilities.

A clubhouse on that site could only bring greatly increased activity and traffic to the spot. We feel that there are too few open spaces left in this area, which, when we built here, were numerous and we feel that the area cannot support any more areas of increased activity.

This particular part of our development houses 100 tenants in an already somewhat confined area. We feel that this area is already vastly overbuilt, with seemingly every available square foot of space being parcelled out, much of it very unwisely. It is our intention to try very hard to keep one more area of breathing space open in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

*Sharon Lord*

Sharon Lord  
General Manager

The following is a list of signatures of those tenants who agree with this company's objection to the proposed clubhouse.

Miss P. Gammulka #36  
John Watters #33  
J. W. Weller #24  
Miss Mrs. Michael MacNeil #22  
Mr. & Mrs. J. J. Stummell #23  
Mr. Updell #31  
J. Stevens #2  
Mr. & Mrs. K. W. Cross #3  
Mr. & Mrs. Johnson #13  
Burnhamdale #1 vacant unit  
Burnhamdale #38 vacant unit  
Louise B. Lakin #37  
Lucile M. Mowrey #9  
B. Littlejohn #16

Mrs. Mrs. J. Riepel say  
they agree with our position  
but do not wish to sign  
as they originally gave  
approval without realizing the  
negative implications.

Mr. & Mrs. J. Riepel 3401  
Mr. & Mrs. M. H. Riepel #17